

# Instrumental variables regression on the Poverty data

```
/****** poverty2.sas *****/
options linesize=79 noovp formdlim='- ' nodate;
title 'UN Poverty Data: Instrumental variables regression';

data misery;
  infile 'poverty.data';
  input birthrat deathrat infmort lifexM lifexF gnp group country $;
  avelife = (lifexM + lifexF)/2;
  lifediff = lifexM - lifexF;
  gnp2 = gnp/100;

proc calis cov; /* Analyze the covariance matrix (Default is corr) */
  var gnp avelife birthrat infmort; /* Name the observed variables */
  /* Now give simultaneous equations, separated by commas. Latent
  variables begin with F for factor. Error terms begin with
  E for error or D for disturbance. SAS is not case sensitive.
  You must name all the parameters. Optional starting values in
  parentheses may be given after the parameters. */
  lineqs
    gnp = Fgnp + delta,
    avelife = gamma1 Fgnp + e1,
    birthrat = gamma2 Fgnp + e2,
    infmort = gamma3 Fgnp + e3;
  std /* Variances (not standard deviations) */
    Fgnp = phi,
    delta = tdelta,
    e1 = psi1,
    e2 = psi2,
    e3 = psi3;
  /* The cov statement would specify covariances. */
  bounds /* Variances are positive */
    0.0 < phi, 0.0 < tdelta, 0.0 < psi1, 0.0 < psi2 , 0.0 < psi3;

/* Suspect numerical problems because of big variance for GNP */

proc calis;
  title2 'Analyze the correlation matrix';
  var gnp avelife birthrat infmort;
  lineqs
    gnp = Fgnp + delta,
    avelife = gamma1 Fgnp + e1,
    birthrat = gamma2 Fgnp + e2,
    infmort = gamma3 Fgnp + e3;
  std
    Fgnp = phi,
    delta = tdelta,
    e1 = psi1,
    e2 = psi2,
    e3 = psi3;
  bounds
    0.0 < phi, 0.0 < tdelta, 0.0 < psi1, 0.0 < psi2 , 0.0 < psi3;
```

```

proc calis cov; /* Analyze the covariance matrix (Default is corr) */
  title2 'Use re-scaled GNP (Divide by 100)';
  var gnp2 avelife birthrat infmort;
  lineqs
    gnp2 = Fgnp + delta,
    avelife = gamma1 Fgnp + e1,
    birthrat = gamma2 Fgnp + e2,
    infmort = gamma3 Fgnp + e3;
  std
    Fgnp = phi,
    delta = tdelta,
    e1 = psi1,
    e2 = psi2,
    e3 = psi3;
  bounds
    0.0 < phi, 0.0 < tdelta, 0.0 < psi1, 0.0 < psi2 , 0.0 < psi3;

```

---

UN Poverty Data: Instrumental variables regression 1

The CALIS Procedure  
Covariance Structure Analysis: Pattern and Initial Values

LINEQS Model Statement

		Matrix	Rows	Columns	-----Matrix Type-----	
Term 1	1	<u>SEL</u>	4	9	SELECTION	
	2	<u>BETA</u>	9	9	EQSBETA	IMINUSINV
	3	<u>GAMMA</u>	9	5	EQSGAMMA	
	4	<u>PHI</u>	5	5	SYMMETRIC	

The 4 Endogenous Variables

Manifest	gnp	avelife	birthrat	infmort
Latent				

The 5 Exogenous Variables

Manifest				
Latent	Fgnp			
Error	e1	e2	e3	delta

---

UN Poverty Data: Instrumental variables regression 2

The CALIS Procedure  
Covariance Structure Analysis: Pattern and Initial Values

Manifest Variable Equations with Initial Estimates

```

gnp      = 1.0000 Fgnp      + 1.0000 delta
avelife  =      .*Fgnp      + 1.0000 e1
          gamma1
birthrat =      .*Fgnp      + 1.0000 e2
          gamma2
infmort  =      .*Fgnp      + 1.0000 e3
          gamma3
    
```

Variances of Exogenous Variables

Variable	Parameter	Estimate
Fgnp	phi	.
e1	psi1	.
e2	psi2	.
e3	psi3	.
delta	tdelta	.

UN Poverty Data: Instrumental variables regression

3

The CALIS Procedure

Covariance Structure Analysis: Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Observations	91	Model Terms	1
Variables	4	Model Matrices	4
Informations	10	Parameters	8

Variable	Mean	Std Dev
gnp	5741	8094
avelife	63.70582	10.38232
birthrat	29.46044	13.69912
infmort	55.28132	46.30232

NOTE: Some initial estimates computed by instrumental variable method.

UN Poverty Data: Instrumental variables regression

4

The CALIS Procedure

Covariance Structure Analysis: Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Vector of Initial Estimates

	Parameter	Estimate	Type
1	gamma1	0.01000	Matrix Entry: _GAMMA_[2:1]
2	gamma2	-0.01000	Matrix Entry: _GAMMA_[3:1]
3	gamma3	-0.01000	Matrix Entry: _GAMMA_[4:1]
4	phi	27910197	Matrix Entry: _PHI_[1:1]
5	psi1	1849	Matrix Entry: _PHI_[2:2]
6	psi2	1704	Matrix Entry: _PHI_[3:3]
7	psi3	382.18752	Matrix Entry: _PHI_[4:4]
8	tdelta	37597457	Matrix Entry: _PHI_[5:5]

UN Poverty Data: Instrumental variables regression

5

The CALIS Procedure  
Covariance Structure Analysis: Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Levenberg-Marquardt Optimization

Scaling Update of More (1978)

Parameter Estimates	8
Functions (Observations)	10
Lower Bounds	5
Upper Bounds	0

Optimization Start

Active Constraints	0	Objective Function	8.2440087478
Max Abs Gradient Element	112.91787916	Radius	34433.201204

Iter	Rest arts	Func Calls	Act Con	Objective Function	Obj Fun Change	Max Abs Gradient Element	Lambda	Actual Over Pred Change
1	0	2	0	5.30908	2.9349	169.8	0	3.071
2	0	3	0	1.92138	3.3877	259.8	0	3.621
3	0	4	0	0.16640	1.7550	149.9	0	2.847
4	0	5	0	0.03181	0.1346	2.3446	0	1.442
5	0	6	0	0.03103	0.000779	0.8293	0	1.031
6	0	7	0	0.03102	3.679E-6	0.0177	0	0.957
7	0	8	0	0.03102	3.256E-8	0.00650	0	0.908
8	0	9	0	0.03102	3.96E-10	0.000514	0	0.888
9	0	10	0	0.03102	5.35E-12	0.000075	0	0.883

Optimization Results

Iterations	9	Function Calls	11
Jacobian Calls	10	Active Constraints	0
Objective Function	0.0310245835	Max Abs Gradient Element	0.0000754344
Lambda	0	Actual Over Pred Change	0.8831579839
Radius	0.0000143073		

GCONV convergence criterion satisfied.

NOTE: Moore-Penrose inverse is used in covariance matrix.

NOTE: Covariance matrix for the estimates is not full rank.

NOTE: Small diagonal element in covariance matrix for phi.

NOTE: Small diagonal element in covariance matrix for tdelta.

---

UN Poverty Data: Instrumental variables regression

6

The CALIS Procedure

Covariance Structure Analysis: Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Fit Function	0.0310
Goodness of Fit Index (GFI)	0.9852
GFI Adjusted for Degrees of Freedom (AGFI)	0.9259
Root Mean Square Residual (RMR)	3239.8846
Parsimonious GFI (Mulaik, 1989)	0.3284
Chi-Square	2.7922
Chi-Square DF	2
Pr > Chi-Square	0.2476
Independence Model Chi-Square	399.83
Independence Model Chi-Square DF	6
RMSEA Estimate	0.0663
RMSEA 90% Lower Confidence Limit	.
RMSEA 90% Upper Confidence Limit	0.2304
ECVI Estimate	0.2193
ECVI 90% Lower Confidence Limit	.
ECVI 90% Upper Confidence Limit	0.3204
Probability of Close Fit	0.3226
Bentler's Comparative Fit Index	0.9980
Normal Theory Reweighted LS Chi-Square	2.7091
Akaike's Information Criterion	-1.2078
Bozdogan's (1987) CAIC	-8.2295
Schwarz's Bayesian Criterion	-6.2295
McDonald's (1989) Centrality	0.9957
Bentler & Bonett's (1980) Non-normed Index	0.9940
Bentler & Bonett's (1980) NFI	0.9930
James, Mulaik, & Brett (1982) Parsimonious NFI	0.3310
Z-Test of Wilson & Hilferty (1931)	0.6863
Bollen (1986) Normed Index Rho1	0.9790
Bollen (1988) Non-normed Index Delta2	0.9980
Hoelter's (1983) Critical N	195

---

UN Poverty Data: Instrumental variables regression

7

The CALIS Procedure

Covariance Structure Analysis: Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Manifest Variable Equations with Estimates

```

gnp      = 1.0000 Fgnp      + 1.0000 delta
avelife  = 0.00195*Fgnp     + 1.0000 e1
Std Err  0.000128 gamma1
t Value  15.2015
birthrat = -0.00231*Fgnp    + 1.0000 e2
Std Err  0.000193 gamma2
t Value  -12.0153
infmort  = -0.00837*Fgnp   + 1.0000 e3
Std Err  0.000600 gamma3
t Value  -13.9577

```

Variances of Exogenous Variables

Variable	Parameter	Estimate	Standard Error	t Value
Fgnp	phi	27992866	4.93933E-6	5.67E12
e1	psi1	1.69803	1.73450	0.98
e2	psi2	37.86868	6.18412	6.12
e3	psi3	180.85450	41.79798	4.33
delta	tdelta	37514788	0.0000101	3.71E12

UN Poverty Data: Instrumental variables regression

8

The CALIS Procedure  
Covariance Structure Analysis: Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Manifest Variable Equations with Standardized Estimates

```

gnp      = 0.6537 Fgnp      + 0.7568 delta
avelife  = 0.9921*Fgnp     + 0.1255 e1
          gamma1
birthrat = -0.8934*Fgnp    + 0.4492 e2
          gamma2
infmort  = -0.9569*Fgnp   + 0.2904 e3
          gamma3

```

Squared Multiple Correlations

	Variable	Error Variance	Total Variance	R-Square
1	gnp	37514788	65507654	0.4273
2	avelife	1.69803	107.79249	0.9842
3	birthrat	37.86868	187.66597	0.7982
4	infmort	180.85450	2144	0.9156

**Part of the output is skipped here. We've already seen it.**

The CALIS Procedure  
 Covariance Structure Analysis: Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Levenberg-Marquardt Optimization

Scaling Update of More (1978)

Parameter Estimates	8
Functions (Observations)	10
Lower Bounds	5
Upper Bounds	0

Optimization Start

Active Constraints	0	Objective Function	0.0689138038
Max Abs Gradient Element	2.2714411201	Radius	25.71390391

Iter	Rest arts	Func Calls	Act Con	Objective Function	Obj Fun Change	Max Abs Gradient Element	Lambda	Actual Over Pred Change
1	0	2	0	0.03117	0.0377	0.1251	0	1.228
2	0	3	0	0.03103	0.000149	0.0111	0	1.023
3	0	4	0	0.03102	6.842E-7	0.000569	0	0.966
4	0	5	0	0.03102	5.738E-9	0.000085	0	0.913
5	0	6	0	0.03102	6.78E-11	6.477E-6	0	0.890

Optimization Results

Iterations	5	Function Calls	7
Jacobian Calls	6	Active Constraints	0
Objective Function	0.0310245835	Max Abs Gradient Element	6.4769144E-6
Lambda	0	Actual Over Pred Change	0.8899971846
Radius	0.0000510193		

GCONV convergence criterion satisfied.

**Exactly the same "objective function" value as before, but no complaints about a singular matrix. Also, same chi-square on the following page.**

UN Poverty Data: Instrumental variables regression  
Analyze the correlation matrix

14

The CALIS Procedure  
Covariance Structure Analysis: Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Fit Function	0.0310
Goodness of Fit Index (GFI)	0.9852
GFI Adjusted for Degrees of Freedom (AGFI)	0.9259
Root Mean Square Residual (RMR)	0.0161
Parsimonious GFI (Mulaik, 1989)	0.3284
Chi-Square	2.7922
Chi-Square DF	2
Pr > Chi-Square	0.2476
Independence Model Chi-Square	399.83
Independence Model Chi-Square DF	6
RMSEA Estimate	0.0663
RMSEA 90% Lower Confidence Limit	.
RMSEA 90% Upper Confidence Limit	0.2304
ECVI Estimate	0.2193
ECVI 90% Lower Confidence Limit	.
ECVI 90% Upper Confidence Limit	0.3204
Probability of Close Fit	0.3226
Bentler's Comparative Fit Index	0.9980
Normal Theory Reweighted LS Chi-Square	2.7091
Akaike's Information Criterion	-1.2078
Bozdogan's (1987) CAIC	-8.2295
Schwarz's Bayesian Criterion	-6.2295
McDonald's (1989) Centrality	0.9957
Bentler & Bonett's (1980) Non-normed Index	0.9940
Bentler & Bonett's (1980) NFI	0.9930
James, Mulaik, & Brett (1982) Parsimonious NFI	0.3310
Z-Test of Wilson & Hilferty (1931)	0.6863
Bollen (1986) Normed Index Rho1	0.9790
Bollen (1988) Non-normed Index Delta2	0.9980
Hoelter's (1983) Critical N	195

UN Poverty Data: Instrumental variables regression  
Analyze the correlation matrix

15

Manifest Variable Equations with Estimates

gnp	=	1.0000 Fgnp	+	1.0000 delta
avelife	=	1.5177*Fgnp	+	1.0000 e1
Std Err		0.1886 gamma1		
t Value		8.0478		
birthrat	=	-1.3667*Fgnp	+	1.0000 e2
Std Err		0.1830 gamma2		
t Value		-7.4672		
infmort	=	-1.4638*Fgnp	+	1.0000 e3
Std Err		0.1859 gamma3		
t Value		-7.8737		

Variances of Exogenous Variables

Variable	Parameter	Estimate	Standard Error	t Value
Fgnp	phi	0.42732	0.12286	3.48
e1	psi1	0.01575	0.01612	0.98
e2	psi2	0.20179	0.03296	6.12
e3	psi3	0.08436	0.01951	4.32
delta	tdelta	0.57268	0.08631	6.64

Skipping the standardized estimates ...

-----

UN Poverty Data: Instrumental variables regression 17  
 Use re-scaled GNP (Divide by 100)

Skipping initial part ...

-----

UN Poverty Data: Instrumental variables regression 21  
 Use re-scaled GNP (Divide by 100)

The CALIS Procedure  
 Covariance Structure Analysis: Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Levenberg-Marquardt Optimization

Scaling Update of More (1978)

Parameter Estimates	8
Functions (Observations)	10
Lower Bounds	5
Upper Bounds	0

Optimization Start

Active Constraints	0	Objective Function	0.2835732124
Max Abs Gradient Element	0.4443450073	Radius	8.4702560074

Iter	Rest arts	Func Calls	Act Con	Objective Function	Obj Fun Change	Max Abs Gradient Element	Lambda	Actual Over Pred Change
1	0	2	0	0.03207	0.2515	0.0893	0	1.604
2	0	3	0	0.03103	0.00104	0.00240	0	1.020
3	0	4	0	0.03102	5.183E-6	0.000819	0	0.948
4	0	5	0	0.03102	4.912E-8	0.000047	0	0.903
5	0	6	0	0.03102	6.11E-10	8.388E-6	0	0.887

Optimization Results

Iterations	5	Function Calls	7
Jacobian Calls	6	Active Constraints	0
Objective Function	0.0310245835	Max Abs Gradient Element	8.3877773E-6
Lambda	0	Actual Over Pred Change	0.8872828041
Radius	0.0001531357		

ABSGCONV convergence criterion satisfied.

Same objective function value again.

---

UN Poverty Data: Instrumental variables regression 22  
 Use re-scaled GNP (Divide by 100)

The CALIS Procedure

Covariance Structure Analysis: Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Fit Function	0.0310
Goodness of Fit Index (GFI)	0.9852
GFI Adjusted for Degrees of Freedom (AGFI)	0.9259
Root Mean Square Residual (RMR)	32.4001
Parsimonious GFI (Mulaik, 1989)	0.3284
Chi-Square	2.7922
Chi-Square DF	2
Pr > Chi-Square	0.2476
Independence Model Chi-Square	399.83
Independence Model Chi-Square DF	6
RMSEA Estimate	0.0663
RMSEA 90% Lower Confidence Limit	.
RMSEA 90% Upper Confidence Limit	0.2304
ECVI Estimate	0.2193
ECVI 90% Lower Confidence Limit	.
ECVI 90% Upper Confidence Limit	0.3204
Probability of Close Fit	0.3226
Bentler's Comparative Fit Index	0.9980
Normal Theory Reweighted LS Chi-Square	2.7091
Akaike's Information Criterion	-1.2078
Bozdogan's (1987) CAIC	-8.2295
Schwarz's Bayesian Criterion	-6.2295
McDonald's (1989) Centrality	0.9957
Bentler & Bonett's (1980) Non-normed Index	0.9940
Bentler & Bonett's (1980) NFI	0.9930
James, Mulaik, & Brett (1982) Parsimonious NFI	0.3310
Z-Test of Wilson & Hilferty (1931)	0.6863
Bollen (1986) Normed Index Rho1	0.9790
Bollen (1988) Non-normed Index Delta2	0.9980
Hoelter's (1983) Critical N	195

---

The CALIS Procedure  
 Covariance Structure Analysis: Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Manifest Variable Equations with Estimates

```

gnp2      =  1.0000 Fgnp      +  1.0000 delta
avelife   =  0.1947*Fgnp     +  1.0000 e1
Std Err   0.0242 gamma1
t Value   8.0478
birthrat  = -0.2313*Fgnp     +  1.0000 e2
Std Err   0.0310 gamma2
t Value  -7.4672
infmort   = -0.8374*Fgnp     +  1.0000 e3
Std Err   0.1064 gamma3
t Value  -7.8737
  
```

Variances of Exogenous Variables

Variable	Parameter	Estimate	Standard Error	t Value
Fgnp	phi	2799	804.84791	3.48
e1	psi1	1.69800	1.73789	0.98
e2	psi2	37.86864	6.18496	6.12
e3	psi3	180.85526	41.83153	4.32
delta	tdelta	3751	565.38707	6.64

Same "t" values as when we analyzed the correlation matrix.

---