Within Cases The Humble *t*-test





2 Univariate

3 Multivariate

Independent Observations

- Most statistical models assume independent observations.
- Sometimes the assumption of independence is unreasonable.
- For example, times series and within cases designs.

Within Cases

- A case contributes a value of the response variable for every value of a categorical explanatory variable.
- As opposed to explanatory variables that are *Between Cases*: Explanatory variables partition the sample.
- It is natural to expect data from the same case to be correlated, *not* independent.
- For example, the same subject appears in several treatment conditions
- Hearing study: How does pitch affect our ability to hear faint sounds? Subjects are presented with tones at a variety of different pitch and volume levels (in a random order). They press a key when they think they hear something.
- A study can have both within and between cases factors.

You may hear terms like

- Longitudinal: The same variables are measured repeatedly over time. Usually lots of variables, including categorical ones, and large samples. If there's an experimental treatment, its usually once at the beginning, like a surgery. Basically its *tracking* what happens over time.
- **Repeated measures**: Usually, same subjects experience two or more experimental treatments. Usually quantitative explanatory variables and small samples.

Student's Sleep Study (*Biometrika*, 1908) First Published Example of a *t*-test

- Patients take two sleeping medicines several days apart.
- Half get A first, half get B first.
- Reported hours of sleep are recorded.
- It's natural to subtract, and test whether the mean *difference* equals zero.
- That's what Gossett did.
- But some might do an independent *t*-test with $n_1 = n_2$.
- Is it harmful?

Conclusions from an earlier discussion

- When covariance is positive, matched *t*-test has better power
- Each case serves as its own control.
- A huge number of unknown influences are removed by subtraction.
- This makes the analysis more precise.

Hotelling's t^2 Multivariate Matched *t*-test

•
$$\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N_k(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$$

• $\overline{\mathbf{X}}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{X}_i$ and $\mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{X}_i - \overline{\mathbf{X}}_n) (\mathbf{X}_i - \overline{\mathbf{X}}_n)'$
• $t^2 = n (\overline{\mathbf{X}}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})' \mathbf{S}^{-1} (\overline{\mathbf{X}}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \sim T^2(k, n - 1)$
• That is, $\frac{n-k}{k(n-1)} t^2 \sim F(k, n - k)$
• When $k = 1$, reduces to the familiar $t^2 = F(1, n - 1)$
• Test $H_0 : \boldsymbol{\mu} = \boldsymbol{\mu}_0$

Test *Collections* of Contrasts $H_0: \mathbf{L}\boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbf{h}$, where \mathbf{L} is $r \times k$

•
$$t^2 = n \left(\overline{\mathbf{X}}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu} \right)' \mathbf{S}^{-1} \left(\overline{\mathbf{X}}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu} \right) \sim T^2(k, n-1),$$

so if H_0 is true

•
$$t^2 = n \left(\mathbf{L} \overline{\mathbf{X}}_n - \mathbf{h} \right)' \left(\mathbf{L} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{L}' \right)^{-1} \left(\mathbf{L} \overline{\mathbf{X}}_n - \mathbf{h} \right) \sim T^2(r, n-1)$$

• Could also calculate contrast variables, like differences.

- Expected value of the contrast is the contrast of expected values.
- Just test (simultaneously) whether the means of the contrast variables are zero, using the first formula.
- For 2 or more within-cases factors, use contrasts to test for main effects, interactions

Compare Wald-like tests

Recall

If
$$\mathbf{Y}_n = \sqrt{n}(\mathbf{T}_n - \boldsymbol{\theta}) \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{Y} \sim N_k(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$$
, then

$$W_n = n(\mathbf{LT}_n - \mathbf{h})' \left(\mathbf{L}\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_n \mathbf{L}'\right)^{-1} (\mathbf{LT}_n - \mathbf{h}) \stackrel{d}{\to} W \sim \chi^2(r)$$

$$t^2 = n \left(\mathbf{L}\overline{\mathbf{X}}_n - \mathbf{h}\right)' \left(\mathbf{LSL}'\right)^{-1} \left(\mathbf{L}\overline{\mathbf{X}}_n - \mathbf{h}\right) \sim T^2(r, n - 1)$$

• And $F = \frac{n-r}{r(n-1)}t^2 \sim F(r, n-r) \Rightarrow t^2 = \frac{n-1}{n-r} rF \xrightarrow{d} Y \sim \chi^2(r)$

• So the Hotelling *t*-squared test is robust with respect to normality.