An Old Assignment on Elementary tests

Using the FURNACE data, carry out elementary significance tests to answer these questions. There is not necessarily a separate SAS procedure for each question. In each of your analyses, there is one independent variable and one dependent variable.

- 1. If you observe the shape of a house's chimney, does that improve your ability to predict what type of furnace the house has?
- 2. Is there more average energy consumption with the vent damper active, or inactive?
- 3. Is there a tendency for houses that consume lots of energy with the vent damper inactive to also consume a lot of energy with the vent damper active?

4. Does energy consumption depend on type of vent damper? Your dependent variable should be the *difference* of two variables in the raw data file.

- 5. Does average amount of energy consumption with vent damper inactive depend on type of chimney liner? If the answer is yes, which means are different from each other?
- 6. Do different kinds of house tend to have different types of chimney?
- 7. Make a new variable that is house age at or below the median versus house age above the median (refer to earlier printouts). Using this variable, do older houses tend to use more energy with the vent damper inactive? Why did I ask for this instead of a simple regression?
- 8. What proportion of the variation in chimney height is explained by chimney type?
- 9. What proportion of the variation in energy consumption with vent damper active is explained by type of damper? Is it significant? What do you conclude? Did you do follow-up tests? Why or why not?
- 10. What proportion of the variation in energy consumption with vent damper active is explained by chimney height? Is it significant? What do you conclude?
- 11. Consider the *difference* in energy consumption between damper active and damper inactive. What proportion of the variation in this variable is explained by furnace type? Is it significant? What do you conclude?

/* 1. If you observe the shape of a house's chimney, does that improve your ability to predict what type of furnace the house has? $\ast/$

TABLE OF SHAPE BY TYPFURN

proc freq;

tables shape*typfurn / nopercent nocol chisq expected;

| SHAPE(Chimne | y shape) | TYPFU | RN(Type of | furnace) |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------|
| Frequency Expected Row Pct | Forced air | Gravity | Forced water | Total |
| Round | 94.87 | 3.0674 2.56 | | 39 |
| Square | 25 27.326 78.13 | 5 2.5169 5.63 | 2 2.1573 | 32 |
| Rectangular | 14 15.371 77.78 | 1 1.4157 5.56 | 3 1.2135 16.67 | 18 |
| Total Frequency Mi | 76 | +7 | +6 | 89 |

STATISTICS FOR TABLE OF SHAPE BY TYPFURN

| Statistic | DF | Value | Prob |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Chi-Square Likelihood Ratio Chi-Square Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square Phi Coefficient Contingency Coefficient Cramer's V | 4 4 1 | 8.347 7.848 4.516 0.306 0.293 0.217 | 0.080 0.097 0.034 |
| Effective Sample Size = 89 Frequency Missing = 1 WARNING: 67% of the cells ha | ve exp | | s less |

than 5. Chi-Square may not be a valid test.

/* 2. Is there more average energy consumption with the vent damper active, or inactive? */ proc means mean std n t prt; /* Did this in heat2.sas */ var diff;

Furnace Data

13:46 Sunday, January 4, 2004

3

4

Analysis Variable : DIFF consumpt w/ damper out minus in

 Mean
 Std Dev
 N
 T
 Prob>|T|

 0.7746667
 0.6191099
 90
 11.8704824
 0.0001

/* 3. Is there a tendency for houses that consume lots of energy with the vent damper inactive to also consume a lot of energy with the vent damper active? $\,*/$

```
proc corr nosimple; /* Did this already */
    var dampin dampout;
```

Furnace Data

13:46 Sunday, January 4, 2004

Correlation Analysis

2 'VAR' Variables: DAMPIN DAMPOUT

Pearson Correlation Coefficients / Prob > |R| under Ho: Rho=0 / N = 90

| | DAMPIN | DAMPOUT |
|---------------------------------|---------|---------|
| DAMPIN | 1.00000 | 0.98111 |
| Energy consumpt with damper in | 0.0 | 0.0001 |
| DAMPOUT | 0.98111 | 1.00000 |
| Energy consumpt with damper out | 0.0001 | 0.0 |

/* 4. Does energy consumption depend on type of vent damper? Your dependent variable should be the difference of two variables in the raw data file. */

```
Furnace Data
```

13:46 Sunday, January 4, 2004

5

6

TTEST PROCEDURE

Variable: DIFF consumpt w/ damper out minus in

| DAMPER | Ν | Μ | lean | Sto | l Dev | Std | Error | | Minimur | n | Maximum |
|------------------|----------------|----------|--------------|---------|--------------|------|------------------|---|---------|---|--------------------------|
| TVD EVD | 40 50 | 0.66150 | | 0.5106 | | | 073822 693728 | | 8000000 | - | 2.29000000 3.98000000 |
| Variances | | Т | DF | Prob> | • T | | | | | | |
| Unequal Equal | -1.61 -1.56 | | 87.6 88.0 | | .100 .215 | | | | | | |
| For H0: Va | riance | s are eq | [ual, | F' = 1. | 80 | DF = | (49,39) | I | rob>F' | = | 0.0596 |

/* 5. Does average amount of energy consumption with vent damper inactive
depend on type of chimney liner? If the answer is yes, which means are
different from each other? */

```
proc glm;
    class liner;
    model dampout=liner;
    means liner;
    means liner / bon scheffe tukey;
```

Furnace Data

General Linear Models Procedure Class Level Information

Class Levels Values LINER 3 Metal Tile Unlined

Number of observations in data set = 90

STA442s04 Overheads 3: Old Assignment on Elementary tests

NOTE: Due to missing values, only 89 observations can be used in this analysis.

Furnace Data 7 13:46 Sunday, January 4, 2004 General Linear Models Procedure Dependent Variable: DAMPOUT Energy consumpt with damper out Sum of Mean Source DF Squares Square F Value Pr > FModel 2 77.36449661 38.68224831 4.49 0.0140 Error 741.09641350 8.61740016 86 Corrected Total 88 818.46091011 R-Square C.V. Root MSE DAMPOUT Mean 10.751461 0.094524 27.30365 2.9355409 Source DF Type I SS Mean Square F Value Pr > F LINER 2 77.36449661 38.68224831 4.49 0.0140 Source DF Type III SS Mean Square F Value Pr > FLINER 2 77.36449661 38.68224831 0.0140 4.49

Furnace Data

13:46 Sunday, January 4, 2004

8

General Linear Models Procedure

| Level of | DAMPOUT | | | | | | |
|----------|---------|------------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| LINER | N | Mean | SD | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Metal | 25 | 9.2884000 | 2.51587241 | | | | |
| Tile | 40 | 11.1567500 | 2.71503637 | | | | |
| Unlined | 24 | 11.6000000 | 3.62179514 | | | | |

Furnace Data

13:46 Sunday, January 4, 2004

9

General Linear Models Procedure

Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test for variable: DAMPOUT

NOTE: This test controls the type I experimentwise error rate.

Alpha= 0.05 Confidence= 0.95 df= 86 MSE= 8.6174 Critical Value of Studentized Range= 3.373

Comparisons significant at the 0.05 level are indicated by '***'.

| LINE Compar | | Simultaneous Lower Confidence Limit | Difference Between Means | Simultaneous Upper Confidence Limit | 75 |
|------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|----------------|
| Unlined - Unlined - | | -1.3645 0.3108 | 0.4433 2.3116 | 2.2510 4.3124 | * * * |
| | Unlined Metal | -2.2510 0.0834 | -0.4433 1.8684 | 1.3645 3.6533 | *** |
| 1100042 | Unlined Tile | -4.3124 -3.6533 | -2.3116 -1.8684 | -0.3108 -0.0834 | * * * * * * |

/* 6. Do different kinds of house tend to have different types of chimney? */

proc freq;

tables (house housecat)*shape / nopercent nocol chisq expected;

| HOUSE(Type o: | f house) SHAPE(Chimney shape) | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|--|--|
| Frequency Expected Row Pct | Round | Square | Rectangu lar | Total | | |
| Ranch | 22 16.652 57.89 | | 3 7.6854 7.89 | 38 | | |
| Two-story | 8 17.09 20.51 | 18 14.022 46.15 | 13 7.8876 33.33 | 39 | | |
| tri-level | 3 1.3146 100.00 | 0 1.0787 0.00 | 0 0.6067 0.00 | 3 | | |
| Bi-level | 6 2.6292 100.00 | | 0 1.2135 0.00 | 6 | | |
| 1.5 stories | 0 1.3146 0.00 | 1 1.0787 33.33 | 2 0.6067 66.67 | 3 | | |
| Total | 39 | 32 | ++ 18 | 89 | | |

TABLE OF HOUSE BY SHAPE

Frequency Missing = 1

STATISTICS FOR TABLE OF HOUSE BY SHAPE

| Statistic | DF | Value | Prob |
|-----------------------------|----|--------|-------|
| | | | |
| Chi-Square | 8 | 29.941 | 0.001 |
| Likelihood Ratio Chi-Square | 8 | 34.625 | 0.001 |
| Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square | 1 | 0.844 | 0.358 |
| Phi Coefficient | | 0.580 | |
| Contingency Coefficient | | 0.502 | |
| Cramer's V | | 0.410 | |

Effective Sample Size = 89 Frequency Missing = 1 WARNING: 60% of the cells have expected counts less than 5. Chi-Square may not be a valid test.

Furnace Data 15:48 Sunday, January 4, 2004 TABLE OF HOUSECAT BY SHAPE HOUSECAT (Recoded House Type) SHAPE (Chimney shape) Frequency | Expected Row Pct | Round | Square | Rectangu | Total lar -----+ Ranch | 22 | 13 | 3 | 38 16.652 | 13.663 | 7.6854 | 57.89 34.21 7.89 ----+ Two Story | 8 | 18 | 13 | 39 17.09 | 14.022 | 7.8876 | 20.51 | 46.15 | 33.33 | ----+ Other 9 1 2 12 5.2584 4.3146 2.427 75.00 8.33 16.67 ----+ Total 39 32 18 89

13

Frequency Missing = 1

STATISTICS FOR TABLE OF HOUSECAT BY SHAPE

| Statistic | DF | Value | Prob |
|-----------------------------|----|--------|-------|
| | | | |
| Chi-Square | 4 | 19.167 | 0.001 |
| Likelihood Ratio Chi-Square | 4 | 21.129 | 0.001 |
| Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square | 1 | 1.372 | 0.242 |
| Phi Coefficient | | 0.464 | |
| Contingency Coefficient | | 0.421 | |
| Cramer's V | | 0.328 | |
| | | | |

Effective Sample Size = 89 Frequency Missing = 1 WARNING: 22% of the cells have expected counts less than 5. Chi-Square may not be a valid test.

> STA442s04 Overheads 3: Old Assignment on Elementary tests Page 8

/* 7. Make a new variable that is house age at or below the median versus house age above the median (refer to earlier printouts). Using this variable, do older houses tend to use more energy with the vent damper inactive? Why did I ask for this instead of a simple regression? */

```
proc ttest;
    class agecat;
    var dampout ;
```

This was at the beginning of heat3.sas

```
/* Still part of the data step -- needed for Question 7 */
    if age = . then agecat = . ;
        else if age <= 30 then agecat = 0;
        else agecat = 1;
        label agecat = 'House Age Above Median?';
proc format;
        value fmt 0 = 'At or Below Med' 1 = 'Above Median';
proc plot; /* Just checking */
        plot age * agecat;</pre>
```

| | | | | Furnace | | Sunday, Jan | 13 uary 4, 2004 |
|------------------|-------|--------|----------|-------------|--------------------------|-------------|--------------------|
| | | | | TTEST PRC | CEDURE | | |
| Variable: | DAMPO | UT | Energy | consumpt wi | th damper out. | | |
| AGECAT | N | | Mean | Std Dev | Std Error | Minimum | Maximum |
| 0 1 | | | | | 0.35746080 0.53519804 | | |
| Variances | | Т | DF | Prob> T | | | |
| Unequal Equal | | | | | | | |
| For H0: Va | rianc | es are | equal, 1 | F' = 2.14 | DF = (43, 45) | Prob>F' = | 0.0126 |

/* 8. What proportion of the variation in chimney height is explained by
chimney type? */
proc glm;
 class shape;
 model height=shape;
 means shape;
 means shape / bon scheffe tukey;

| Ft | ırnace Data | | | | | 14 |
|--------------|---------------------------------|-----------|---------|---------|----|------|
| | | 13:46 | Sunday, | January | 4, | 2004 |
| | near Models P: Level Informa | | | | | |
| Class Levels | Values | | | | | |
| SHAPE 3 | Rectangula | r Round S | Square | | | |

Number of observations in data set = 90

NOTE: Due to missing values, only 89 observations can be used in this analysis.

| Furnace Data | | | | | 15 |
|--------------|------|---|---|---|----|
| | | ~ | - | - | |

13:46 Sunday, January 4, 2004

General Linear Models Procedure

| Dependent Variabl | e: HEIGHT | Chimney height Sum of | in feet Mean | | |
|-------------------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------------|---------|----------|
| Source | DF | Squares | Square | F Value | Pr > F |
| Model | 2 | 1140.2953640 | 570.1476820 | 25.55 | 0.0001 |
| Error | 86 | 1919.3450855 | 22.3179661 | | |
| Corrected Total | 88 | 3059.6404494 | | | |
| | R-Square | C.V. | Root MSE | HEI | GHT Mean |
| | 0.372689 | 21.59491 | 4.7241895 | 2 | 1.876404 |

/* 9. What proportion of the variation in energy consumption with vent damper active is explained by type of damper? Is it significant? What do you conclude? Did you do follow-up tests? Why or why not? */

proc glm; class damper; model dampin=damper; means damper;

| | | Furnace Data | 13:46 Sund | ay, Janua: | 21 Cy 4, 2004 |
|---------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|----------------|------------|------------------|
| General Linear Models Procedure | | | | | |
| Dependent Variabl | e: DAMPIN | Energy consumpt Sum of | - | | |
| Source | DF | Sum Of Squares | Mean Square | F Value | Pr > F |
| Model | 1 | 1.22983472 | 1.22983472 | 0.15 | 0.7013 |
| Error | 88 | 730.82794750 | 8.30486304 | | |
| Corrected Total | 89 | 732.05778222 | | | |
| | R-Square | C.V. | Root MSE | DAM | IPIN Mean |
| | 0.001680 | 28.70779 | 2.8818159 | 1 | 0.038444 |
| | | | | | |
| Source | DF | Type I SS | Mean Square | F Value | Pr > F |
| DAMPER | 1 | 1.22983472 | 1.22983472 | 0.15 | 0.7013 |
| Source | DF | Type III SS | Mean Square | F Value | Pr > F |
| DAMPER | 1 | 1.22983472 | 1.22983472 | 0.15 | 0.7013 |

Furnace Data

22 13:46 Sunday, January 4, 2004

General Linear Models Procedure

| Level of | DAMPIN | | |
|----------|--------|------------|------------|
| DAMPER | N | Mean | SD |
| | | | |
| EVD | 50 | 10.1430000 | 2.76701950 |
| TVD | 40 | 9.9077500 | 3.01986796 |

STA442s04 Overheads 3: Old Assignment on Elementary tests Page 11

/* 10. What proportion of the variation in energy consumption with vent damper active is explained by chimney height? Is it significant? What do you conclude? $\,*/$

proc reg; model dampin = height;

HEIGHT

1

0.076081

Furnace Data 23 13:46 Sunday, January 4, 2004 Model: MODEL1 Dependent Variable: DAMPIN Energy consumpt with damper in Analysis of Variance Sum of Mean Source DF Squares Square F Value Prob>F Model 1 18.08789 18.08789 2.229 0.1390 Error 88 713.96989 8.11329 C Total 89 732.05778 Root MSE 2.84838 R-square 0.0247 Dep Mean 10.03844 Adj R-sq 0.0136 C.V. 28.37476 Parameter Estimates Standard T for H0: Parameter Variable DF Estimate Error Parameter=0 Prob > |T|INTERCEP 8.367200 1.15886577 7.220 0.0001 1

0.05095427

1.493

0.1390

/* 11. Consider the difference in energy consumption between damper active and damper inactive. What proportion of the variation in this variable is explained by furnace type? Is it significant? What do you conclude? */

proc glm; class typfurn; model diff=typfurn; means typfurn; means typfurn / bon scheffe tukey;

| | | Furnace Data | 13:46 Sund | ay, January | 25 7 4, 2004 |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| General Linear Models Procedure | | | | | |
| Dependent Variab | le: DIFF co | onsumpt w/ damper Sum of | out minus in Mean | | |
| Source | DF | Squares | Square | F Value | Pr > F |
| Model | 2 | 0.69834432 | 0.34917216 | 0.91 | 0.4067 |
| Error | 87 | 33.41509568 | 0.38408156 | | |
| Corrected Total | 89 | 34.11344000 | | | |
| | R-Square | C.V. | Root MSE | DI | IFF Mean |
| | 0.020471 | 80.00127 | 0.6197431 | 0. | .7746667 |
| | | | | | |

Furnace Data

13:46 Sunday, January 4, 2004

26

General Linear Models Procedure

| Level of | | | -DIFF | |
|----------|-----|------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|--|
| | Ν | Mean | SD | |
| | | | | |
| air | 76 | 0.79434211 | 0.63196695 | |
| water | 7 | 0.47714286 | 0.57398357 | |
| | 7 | 0.85857143 | 0.49744107 | |
| | air | N air 76 water 7 | N Mean air 76 0.79434211 water 7 0.47714286 | |

title2 'Old assignment on choice of elementary tests'; %include 'heatread.sas'; /* Basically the data step from heat1.sas */ /* Still part of the data step -- needed for Question 7 */ if age = . then agecat = \cdot ; else if age <= 30 then agecat = 0; else agecat = 1; label agecat = 'House Age Above Median?'; proc format; value fmt 0 = 'At or Below Med' 1 = 'Above Median'; proc plot; /* Just checking */ plot age * agecat; /* 1. If you observe the shape of a house's chimney, does that improve your ability to predict what type of furnace the house has? */ proc freq; tables shape*typfurn / nopercent nocol chisq expected; /* 2. Is there more average energy consumption with the vent damper active, or inactive? */ proc means mean std n t prt; /* Did this in heat2.sas */ var diff; /* 3. Is there a tendency for houses that consume lots of energy with the vent damper inactive to also consume a lot of energy with the vent damper active? */ /* Did this already */ proc corr nosimple; var dampin dampout; /* 4. Does energy consumption depend on type of vent damper? Your dependent variable should be the difference of two variables in the raw data file. */ /* Did this already */ proc ttest; class damper; var diff ; /* 5. Does average amount of energy consumption with vent damper inactive depend on type of chimney liner? If the answer is yes, which means are different from each other? */ proc glm; class liner; model dampout=liner; means liner; means liner / bon scheffe tukey;

> STA442s04 Overheads 3: Old Assignment on Elementary tests Page 14

/* 6. Do different kinds of house tend to have different types of chimney? */ proc freq; tables (house housecat) * shape / nopercent nocol chisq expected; /* 7. Make a new variable that is house age at or below the median versus house age above the median (refer to earlier printouts). Using this variable, do older houses tend to use more energy with the vent damper inactive? Why did I ask for this instead of a simple regression? */ proc ttest; class agecat; var dampout ; /* 8. What proportion of the variation in chimney height is explained by chimney type? */ proc glm; class shape; model height=shape; means shape; means shape / bon scheffe tukey; /* 9. What proportion of the variation in energy consumption with vent damper active is explained by type of damper? Is it significant? What do you conclude? Did you do follow-up tests? Why or why not? */ proc glm; class damper; model dampin=damper; means damper; /* 10. What proportion of the variation in energy consumption with vent damper active is explained by chimney height? Is it significant? What do you conclude? */ proc reg; model dampin = height; /* 11. Consider the difference in energy consumption between damper active and damper inactive. What proportion of the variation in this variable is explained by furnace type? Is it significant? What do you conclude? */ proc glm; class typfurn; model diff=typfurn; means typfurn; means typfurn / bon scheffe tukey;