# STA 441: Methods of Applied Statistics

# STA1008: Applications of Statistics

This slide show is an open-source document. See last slide for copyright information.

### Data File

- Rows are cases
- Columns are variables

1	2	2	0	78.0	65	80	39	English	Female	3	3	1
2	2	6	2	66.0	54	75	57	English	Female	3	3	1
3	2	4	4	80.2	77	70	62	English	Male	5	6	1
4	2	5	2	81.7	80	67	76	English	Female	2	2	1
5	2	4	4	86.8	87	80	86	English	Male	5	5	1
6	2	3	1	76.7	53	75	60	English	Male	3	3	1
7	2	3	2	85.8	86	81	54	Other	Female	2	2	1
8	2	4	3	73.0	75	77	17	English	Male	4	5	1
9	2	6	2	72.3	63	60	2	English	Male	4	4	1
10	2	8	6	90.3	87	88	76	English	Male	4	4	1
11	2	8	3		-	-	60	English	Male	1	2	1
12	2	6	4		-	-	61	Other	Female	1	1	1
13	-	-		87.2	84	83	54	English	Male	3	3	1
14	2	2	5	91.0	90	91	84	English	Male	5	5	1
15	2	3	1	72.8	53	74	-	English	Female	3	3	1
16	-	-		80.7	72	84	14	English	Male	3	3	1
17	2	5	0	82.5	82	85	75	Other	Female	2	2	1
18	2	4	6	91.5	95	81	94	English	Female	3	3	1
19	2	3	2	78.3	77	74	60	English	Female	3	3	1
20	-	-		74.5	0	85	-	English	Male	4	4	1
21	2	3	3	80.7	71	78	53	Other	Female	1	3	1
22	2	5	3	88.3	80	85	63	English	Female	3	3	1
23	2	4	2	76.8	82	64	82	Other	Female	2	2	1

#### Skipping ....

570	2	5	4	84.8	88	68	80	English	Male	1	1	1
571	2	4	3	78.3	83	84	56	English	Male	4	2	1
572	2	6	3	88.3	81	90	70	English	Female	5	5	1
573	2	3	1		-	-	-	English	Male	3	3	1
574	2	5	9	77.0	73	79	60	English	Female	2	2	1
575	-	-	-	78.7	80	73	-	English	Female	6	3	1
576	2	5	2	80.7	80	70	50	Other	Male	1	1	1
577	2	4	2	80.7	56	81	50	English	Female	2	2	1
578	2	4	3		-	-	78	Other	Female	4	4	1
579	1	6	1	82.2	80	86	61	English	Female	2	2	1

									`			,
id	mcg	r	day	AML	AMS	AMld	PML	PMS	PMld	AMslp	PMslp	SWeight
1	198	1	1	0.6			0.8					
2	198	1	2	1.8			2.8					
3	198		3	4.7	1		6.1	1				
- 4	198		4	7.8	4	2.0	8.7	5	2.1			
5	198		5	11.2	6	1.8	12.1	7	2.0			
6	198		6	14.3	12	1.9	15.0	11	1.4			
7	198		7	17.5	12	2.1	18.5	13	1.6			
8	198		8	20.9	19	1.1	21.9	19	1.7			
	198		9	24.0	22	1.6	25.2	22	1.3			
10	198		10	27.2	26	2.1	28.4	26	1.2			
11	198		11	30.7	28	1.4	32.3	28	1.5			
	198				31			31				
	198				37			36				
	198		14		37			38		3.11	3.18	0.5996
15	198		1	0.5			0.6					
16	198	2	2	1.4			2.3					
17	198	2	3	4.15	1		5.6	1				
18	198	2	4	7.4	2	2.0	8.7	4	2.1			
19	198	2	5	10.8	5	2.2	12.0	8	2.0			
20	198	2	6	14.2	10	1.7	15.3	13	1.6			
21	198	2	7	17.1	13	2.2	18.1	16	1.7			
22	198	2	8	21.3	18	1.1	22.2	18	1.4			
23	198	2	9	24.4	27	1.4	25.6	24	1.2			
24	198	2	10	27.6	26	2.1	28.8	28	1.2			
25	198		11	31.2	29	1.9	32.5	29	1.3			
26	198				33			36				
27	198	2	13		38			41				
28	198	2	14	•	42	•	•	42		3.21	3.26	0.6040

#### Variables can be

- Quantitative representing <u>amount</u> of something, like Income, BP, BMI, GPA (?)
- Categorical Codes represent category membership, like Gender, Nationality, Marital status, Alive vs. dead

### Variables can be

- Explanatory: Predictor or cause (contributing factor)
- Response: Predicted or effect

We will often pretend that our data represent a **random sample** from some **population**. We will carry out formal procedures for making inferences about this (usually fictitious) population, and then use them as a basis for drawing

conclusions about the data.

- Statistics: Numbers that can be calculated from sample data
- **Parameters**: Numbers that could be calculated if we knew the whole population

# **Distribution** = Population Histogram

### **Conditional Distribution**

For each value x of the explanatory variable X, there is a separate distribution of the response Variable Y. This is called the conditional distribution of Y given X=x.

Example: Conditional distribution of height given Gender = F.

# Definition of "Related"

- We will say that the explanatory and response variables are unrelated if the conditional distribution of the response variable is identical for each value of the explanatory variable.
- If the distribution of the response variable <u>does</u> depend on the value of the explanatory variable, we will describe the two variables as related.

# **Testing Statistical Significance**

- Are explanatory variable and response variable "really" related?
- Null Hypothesis: They are unrelated in the population

### Reasoning

Suppose that the explanatory and response variables are actually unrelated in the population. If this null hypothesis is true, what is the probability of obtaining a sample relationship between the variables that is as strong or stronger than the one we have observed? If the probability is small (say, p < 0.05), then we describe the sample relationship as **statistically significant**, and it is socially acceptable to discuss the results.

### P-value

- The probability of getting our results (or better) just by chance.
- The minimum significance level at which the null hypothesis can be rejected.

#### We can be wrong

- Type I error:  $H_0$  is true, but we reject it
- Type II error: H<sub>0</sub> is false, but we fail to reject it

# **Power** is the probability of *correctly* rejecting H<sub>0</sub>

- Power = 1 P(Type II Error)
- Power increases with true strength of relationship, and with sample size
- Power can be used to select sample size in advance of data collection

**Confidence Interval**: Pair of numbers chosen so that the probability they will enclose the parameter (or function of parameters) is large, like 0.95

### Should we Accept H<sub>0</sub>?

- When the results are not statistically significant, usually we will say that the data do not provide enough evidence to conclude that the variables are related.
- See text for more details

#### Many statistical methods assume Independent Observations

- Simple random sampling
- Cases are not linked, do not "communicate"
- If the design involves nonindependence, allow for it.

### **Elementary Tests**

- Independent (two-sample) t-test
- Matched (paired) t-test
- One-way ANOVA
- Simple regression and correlation
- Chi-square test of independence

# Independent t-test: Compare two means

Screen	<b>Productivity Rating</b>
CRT	6.2
CRT	2.7
Flat	5.9
CRT	7.4
Flat	1.5

# Model (Assumptions) for the independent t-test

- Random sampling, independently from two normal populations
- Possibly different population means
- Same population variance
- Null hypothesis: Population means equal

# Two-tailed tests and p-values only!



# Robustness of the two-sample t-test

- Normality does not matter much if both samples are large
- Equal variance does not matter much if both samples are large and nearly equal in size
- Independent observations: Important

## Matched (paired) t-test

Taste1	Taste2	Difference
10	8	2
7	7	0
3	4	-]
7	8	-1
6	5	1

. . .

. . .

#### Within versus between cases

- Between: A case contributes exactly one explanatory variable and one response variable value
- Within: A case contributes several pairs (explanatory variable, response variable) - usually one pair for each value of the explanatory variable

# Model assumptions for matched t-test

- Random sampling of pairs
- Differences are normally distributed (satisfied if both measurements are normal)

### Matched t-test

- Null Hypothesis: Mean difference equals zero
- Just a one-sample t-test applied to differences
- Can have more power than an inappropriate independent t-test

### Robustness of matched t-test

- For large samples, normality does not matter
- Independent observations matter a lot

### One-way analysis of variance

- Could call it "one-factor"
- Could call it "ANOVA"
- Extension of independent t-test: More than two values of the explanatory variable
- There are several within-cases versions
  not elementary

# Simple regression and correlation

- Simple means one explanatory variable
- response variable quantitative
- explanatory variable usually quantitative too

Simple regression and correlation					
High School GPA	University GPA				
88	86				
78	73				
87	89				
86	81				
77	67				

#### Scatterplot



#### Least squares line



HS\_GPA

# Correlation coefficient r

- -1 ≤ r ≤ 1
- r = +1 indicates a perfect positive linear relationship. All the points are exactly on a line with a positive slope.
- r = -1 indicates a perfect negative linear relationship. All the points are exactly on a line with a negative slope.
- r = 0 means no *linear* relationship (curve possible). Slope of least squares line = 0
- $r^2$  = proportion of variation explained





Correlation of C1 and C3 = 0.004
$$r = 0.112$$



Correlation of C4 and C6 = 0.112

$$r = 0.368$$



Correlation of C3 and C7 = 0.368

$$r = 0.547$$



Correlation of C4 and C7 = 0.547

$$r = 0.733$$



Correlation of CS and C7 = 0.733

$$r = -0.822$$



Correlation of C5 and C9 = -0.822

$$r = 0.025$$



Correlation of C1 and C2 = 0.025

$$r = -0.811$$



Correlation of C1 and C2 = -0.811

### Zero correlation = Horizontal least-squares line

$$\widehat{Y} = b_0 + b_1 X$$

$$b_1 = r \frac{s_y}{s_x}$$
 and  $b_0 = \overline{Y} - b_1$ 

# Model assumptions for simple regression

- Random sampling of (X,Y) pairs
- Conditional distribution of response variable is normal for each explanatory variable value
- Maybe different mean, related to explanatory variable by equation of a straight line
- Variances all equal

### Testing simple regression

- Null hypothesis: population slope = 0
- (This would make all the conditional distributions identical)
- Same as testing the significance of  $b_1$
- Same as testing the significance of *r*

# Robustness of simple regression test

- Normality does not matter much for large samples if the most influential observations are not too influential.
- Equal variance does not matter much if the number of observations at EACH value of X is large.
- Independent observations: Matters a lot

#### Chi-square test of independence: Both variables categorical



"Joint frequency distribution" or "contingency table" or "crosstabulation" or "crosstab"

	Music Type			
	Α	B	С	D
Yes	41	15	38	45
No	9	35	12	5

Model assumptions for the chisquared test of independence

- The variable consisting of combinations of explanatory variable, response variable has a multinomial distribution
- "Large" random sample
- Rule of thumb: Lowest expected frequency no more than 5
- Independent observations: Important and often violated in practice.

## Formula for the chi-square test

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{\text{cells}} \frac{(f_o - f_e)^2}{f_e}$$

- Even one very small expected frequency can make chisquare huge
- Smallest expected frequency no less than one (not 5) controls Type I error

# Why predict response variable from explanatory variable?

- There may be a practical reason for prediction (buy, make a claim, price of wheat).
- It may be "science."

### Young smokers who buy contraband cigarettes tend to smoke more.

What is explanatory variable, response variable?

## Correlation is not the same as causation



**Confounding variable**: A variable that contributes to both explanatory variable and response variable, causing a misleading relationship between them.



### Mozart Effect

- Babies who listen to classical music tend to do better in school later on.
- Does this mean parents should play classical music for their babies?
- **Please comment.** (What is one possible confounding variable?)

### Hypothetical study

- Subjects are babies in an orphanage awaiting adoption in Canada. All are assigned, but waiting for the paperwork to clear.
- They all wear headphones 5 hours a day. Randomly assigned to classical, rock, hip-hop or nature sounds. Same volume.
- Adoptive parents not informed.
- Assess academic progress in JK, SJ, Grade 4.
- Suppose there is a significant difference? What are some potential confounding variables?

### Experimental vs. Observational studies

- **Observational**: explanatory variable, response variable just observed and recorded
- **Experimental**: Cases randomly assigned to values of explanatory variable
- Only a true experimental study can establish a causal connection between explanatory variable and response variable
- Maybe we should talk about observational vs experimental variables.
- Watch it: Confounding variables can creep back in.

### **Copyright Information**

This slide show was prepared by Jerry Brunner, Department of Statistical Sciences, University of Toronto. It is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution - ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License. Use any part of it as you like and share the result freely. These Powerpoint slides are available from the course website:

http://www.utstat.toronto.edu/~brunner/oldclass/441s16