Random Independent Variables

STA302 Fall 2013

See last slide for copyright information

Don't you think it's strange?

- In the general linear regression model, the X matrix is supposed to be full of fixed constants.
- But in any non-experimental study, if you selected another sample, you'd get different X values, because of random sampling.
- So X should be random variables, not fixed.
- View the usual model as *conditional* on X=x.

Recall Double Expectation

$$E\{Y\} = E\{E\{Y|X\}\}$$

E{Y} is a constant. E{Y|X} is a random variable, a function of X.

$$E\{E\{Y|X\}\} = \int E\{Y|X = x\} f(x) \, dx$$

Beta-hat is (conditionally) unbiased

$$E\{\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}|\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x}\}=\boldsymbol{\beta}$$

Unbiased unconditionally, too

$E\{\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\} = E\{E\{\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}|\mathbf{X}\}\} = E\{\boldsymbol{\beta}\} = \boldsymbol{\beta}$

Perhaps Clearer



Conditional size α test, Critical region A

$$Pr\{F \in A | \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}\} = \alpha$$

$$Pr\{F \in A\} = \int \cdots \int Pr\{F \in A | \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}\} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$
$$= \int \cdots \int \alpha f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$
$$= \alpha \int \cdots \int f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$
$$= \alpha$$

The moral of the story

- Don't worry.
- Even though X variables are often random, we can apply the usual fixed-x model without fear.
- Estimators are still unbiased.
- Tests have the right significance level.
- And it's all *distribution-free* with respect to X.

Copyright Information

This slide show was prepared by Jerry Brunner, Department of Statistics, University of Toronto. It is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution - ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License. Use any part of it as you like and share the result freely. These Powerpoint slides will be available from the course website: http://www.utstat.toronto.edu/brunner/oldclass/302f13