

# Probability and Stochastic Processes II - Lecture 4d

Michael Evans

University of Toronto

<https://utstat.utoronto.ca/mikeevans/stac62/staC632024.html>

2024

## IV.5 Random walks on graphs

**Definition IV.4** A *weighted graph* is given by  $(V, w)$  where  $V$  is the vertex set and the *weight function*  $w : V \times V \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfies  $w(u, v) = w(v, u)$ .

- note - if  $w(u, v) \in \{0, 1\}$  for all  $u, v$ , then this is an *unweighted graph* and  $(u, v)$  is an *edge* of the graph when  $w(u, v) = 1$  and since this implies  $w(v, u) = 1$  it is an *undirected graph* which will be assumed hereafter

**Definition IV.5** For graph  $(V, w)$  and  $u \in V$  the *degree* of  $u$  is

$$d(u) = \sum_{v \in V} w(u, v).$$

- assume hereafter that  $d(u) > 0$  for all  $u \in V$

**Definition IV.6** For graph  $(V, w)$  define a *simple random walk* by the MC with state space  $\mathcal{S} = V$  and transition probabilities

$$p_{uv} = \frac{w(u, v)}{d(u)}.$$

- for the unweighted graph the walk moves from  $u$  to one of the vertices connected to  $u$  with uniform probability  $1/d(u)$

- put  $Z = \sum_{u,v \in V} w(u, v)$  which in the unweighted case is 2 times the number of edges with different vertices plus the number of self-edges

**Example IV.11** *Simple symmetric random walk on  $\mathbb{Z}$*

- $V = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $w(u, v) = 1$  when  $|u - v| = 1$  and is 0 otherwise
- $\#(V) = \infty$ ,  $d(u) = 2$  for all  $u$ ,  $p_{uv} = 1/2$  when  $|u - v| = 1$  and is 0 otherwise and  $Z = \infty$  ■

**Example IV.11** *Random walk on  $\mathbb{Z}_m = \{0, \dots, m - 1\}$  (Ring graph)*

- $V = \mathbb{Z}_m$  and

$$w(u, v) = \begin{cases} 1 & (u \pm 1) \equiv v \pmod{m} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- then  $d(u) = 2$  for all  $u$ ,  $p_{uv} = 1/2$  when  $(u \pm 1) \equiv v \pmod{m}$  and is 0 otherwise and  $Z = 2m$  ■

**Proposition IV.4.14** (*Graph stationary distribution*) For graph  $(W, w)$  with finite  $Z$ , then  $\pi_u = \frac{d(u)}{Z}$  is a stationary distribution.

Proof: We have

$$\pi_u p_{uv} = \frac{d(u)}{Z} \frac{w(u, v)}{d(u)} = \frac{w(u, v)}{Z} = \frac{w(v, u)}{Z} = \pi_v p_{vu}$$

and so the MC is time reversible wrt to  $\pi$  which implies  $\pi$  is a stationary distribution. ■

**Definition IV.6** A graph  $(V, w)$  is *connected* if for any  $u, v \in V$  there exist  $u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n \in V$  with  $u_0 = u, u_n = v$  and  $w(u_i, u_{i+1}) > 0$ .

- for a connected graph the simple random walk is irreducible
- the period of the simple random walk on a connected graph is 1 or 2 and is 1 whenever there is a self-edge  $w(u, u) > 0$
- simple random walk on  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  has period 2

**Definition IV.7** A graph  $(V, w)$  is a *bipartite graph* whenever  $V = V_1 \cup V_2$  with  $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$  and  $w(u, v) > 0$  iff  $u \in V_1, v \in V_2$  or conversely.

- a srw on a connected bipartite graph has period 2 and if the graph is not bipartite it has period 1 since  $\{n : p_{uu}^{(n)} > 0\}$  contains 2 and an odd number for some  $u \in V$  (König's Theorem: a graph is bipartite iff all its cycles are even)

**Proposition IV.4.15** (*Graph convergence theorem*) For a srw on a connected, nonbipartite graph (i)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{uv}^{(n)} = \pi_v$  and (ii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(X_n = v) = \pi_v$ .

Proof: MCCT. ■

- there is a corresponding version for the periodic case

## Exercises

**IV.8** Text 2.7.10

**IV.9** Text 2.7.11

## IV.6 Mean Recurrence Times

**Definition IV.8** For MC  $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  define the *mean return time* of state  $i$  by  $m_i = E_i(\inf\{n \geq 1 : X_n = i\})$ . A recurrent state is called *null recurrent* if  $m_i = \infty$  and *positive recurrent* if  $m_i < \infty$ .

- so  $m_i =$  is the average amount of time it takes the chain to return to state  $i$  having started in state  $i$
- if state  $i$  is transient then  $f_{ii} < 1$  so there is a positive probability the chain never returns which implies  $m_i = \infty$
- note even with a recurrent state where  $f_{ii} = 1$ , so we are certain to return, but still could have  $m_i = \infty$  which indicates that the distribution of the return time has a long tail
- let  $T_j^r$  be the time of the  $r$ -th visit to state  $j$  then

$$\begin{aligned}
& P_j(T_j^1 = k) = P_j(X_1 \neq j, \dots, X_{k-1} \neq j, X_k = j) \\
= & \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{k-1} \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \{j\}} P_j(X_1 = i_1, \dots, X_{k-1} = i_{k-1}, X_k = j) \\
= & \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{k-1} \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \{j\}} p_{ji_1} \cdots p_{i_{k-1}j} \\
& P_j(T_j^1 = k, T_j^2 - T_j^1 = l) \\
= & P_j \left( \begin{array}{l} X_1 \neq j, \dots, X_{k-1} \neq j, X_k = j, \\ X_{k+1} \neq j, \dots, X_{k+l-1} \neq j, X_{k+l} = j \end{array} \right) \\
= & \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}, i_{k+1}, \dots, i_{k+l-1} \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \{j\}} P_j \left( \begin{array}{l} X_1 = i_1, \dots, X_{k-1} = i_{k-1}, X_k = j, \\ X_{k+1} = i_{k+1}, \dots, X_{k+l-1} = i_{k+l-1}, \\ X_{k+l} = j \end{array} \right) \\
= & \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}, i_{k+1}, \dots, i_{k+l-1} \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \{j\}} p_{ji_1} \cdots p_{i_{k-1}j} p_{ji_{k+1}} \cdots p_{i_{k+l-1}j} \\
= & \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{k-1} \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \{j\}} p_{ji_1} \cdots p_{i_{k-1}j} \sum_{i_{k+1}, \dots, i_{k+l-1} \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \{j\}} p_{ji_{k+1}} \cdots p_{i_{k+l-1}j} \\
= & P_j(T_j^1 = k) P_j(T_j^2 - T_j^1 = l)
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that from distribution  $P_j$  then  $T_j^1, T_j^2 - T_j^1$  are statistically independent with the same distribution and similarly

$T_j^1, T_j^2 - T_j^1, \dots, T_j^r - T_j^{r-1}$  are i.i.d. with mean  $E_j(T_j^1) = m_j$

- also if we start from state  $i$ , then  $T_j^2 - T_j^1, \dots, T_j^r - T_j^{r-1}$  are i.i.d. with mean  $m_j$

- define  $r_j(n) = \#\{l : X_l = j, 1 \leq l \leq n\}$  = number of visits to  $j$  in first  $n$  steps and put

$$G_n(i, j) = E_i(r_j(n)) = E_i \left( \sum_{l=1}^n I_{\{j\}}(X_l) \right) = \sum_{l=1}^n p_{ij}^{(l)}$$

**Lemma IV.16** If MC is irreducible, recurrent,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_n(i, j) / n = 1 / m_j$ .

Proof: Now  $T_j^2 - T_j^1, \dots, T_j^r - T_j^{r-1}$  are i.i.d. with mean  $m_j$  and

$T_j^r = T_j^1 + (T_j^2 - T_j^1) + \dots + (T_j^r - T_j^{r-1})$ . Also  $P_i(T_j^1 < \infty) = 1$  so

$T_j^1 / r \xrightarrow{wp1} 0$ . This together with the SLLN implies, as  $r \rightarrow \infty$

$$\frac{T_j^r}{r} = \frac{T_j^1}{r} + \frac{r-1}{r} \frac{1}{r-1} \sum_{k=2}^r (T_j^k - T_j^{k-1}) \xrightarrow{wp1} m_j.$$



Since  $j$  is recurrent then  $r_j(n) \xrightarrow{wp1} \infty$  and since  $n \leq$  the time of the  $(r_j(n) + 1)$ -st visit,

$$\frac{T_j^{r_j(n)}}{r_j(n)} \leq \frac{n}{r_j(n)} \leq \frac{T_j^{r_j(n)+1}}{r_j(n)}$$

which implies

$$m_j \leq \liminf \frac{n}{r_j(n)} \leq \limsup \frac{n}{r_j(n)} \leq m_j$$

and so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n/r_j(n) = m_j$ . Now  $G_n(i, j) = E_i(r_j(n))$  which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G_n(i, j)}{n} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_i \left( \frac{r_j(n)}{n} \right) \\ &= E_i \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_j(n)}{n} \right) \text{ by DCT since } 0 \leq r_j(n)/n \leq 1 \\ &= 1/m_j. \end{aligned}$$



- note that  $0 \leq 1/m_j \leq 1$

**note** - if  $x_n \rightarrow x$  then for  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $n_\epsilon$  s.t.  $|x_n - x| < \epsilon$  for  $n \geq n_\epsilon$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k - x \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (x_k - x) \right| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k - x| \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n_\epsilon-1} |x_k - x| + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n_\epsilon}^n |x_k - x| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n_\epsilon-1} |x_k - x| + \left(1 - \frac{n_\epsilon}{n}\right)\epsilon \rightarrow \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

which implies  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \rightarrow x$  (Cesaro summation)

- so by MCCT since  $p_{ij}^{(n)} \rightarrow \pi_j$ , then  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n p_{ij}^{(k)} \rightarrow \pi_j$  and similarly for the periodic case (average blocks)

**Proposition IV.17** If MC is irreducible and each state  $i$  is positive recurrent, then the MC has a unique stationary distribution  $\pi$  with  $\pi_i = 1/m_i$ .

Proof: Suppose  $\sum_i \alpha_i p_{ij} = \alpha_j$  for all  $i, j$  for some distribution  $\alpha$ . As before this implies  $\sum_i \alpha_i p_{ij}^{(n)} = \alpha_j$  for all  $i, j$  for all  $n$ . Therefore, applied to the periodic and aperiodic cases,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_j &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_i \alpha_i p_{ij}^{(k)} = \sum_i \alpha_i \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n p_{ij}^{(k)} \text{ by DCT and Cesaro} \\ &= \sum_i \alpha_i \frac{1}{m_j} \text{ (by Lemma IV.16)} = \frac{1}{m_j}\end{aligned}$$

and so, if a stationary distribution exists, it is unique.

Now

$$c = \sum_j \frac{1}{m_j} = \sum_j \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n p_{ij}^{(k)} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_j p_{ij}^{(k)} = 1 \quad (1)$$

where the inequality follows since, if

$$1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_j p_{ij}^{(k)} < \sum_j \frac{1}{m_j}$$

then there exist  $l$  states  $j_1, \dots, j_l$ , s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_j p_{ij}^{(k)} &< \sum_{j \in \{j_1, \dots, j_l\}} \frac{1}{m_j} \text{ but} \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_j p_{ij}^{(k)} &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j \in \{j_1, \dots, j_l\}} p_{ij}^{(k)} \\ &= \sum_{j \in \{j_1, \dots, j_l\}} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n p_{ij}^{(k)} = \sum_{j \in \{j_1, \dots, j_l\}} \frac{1}{m_j} \text{ by Lemma IV.16} \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

Next for any state  $i$ , (and using the same argument for the inequality (1) ) by Lemma IV.16

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{m_j} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n p_{ij}^{(t+1)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \sum_k p_{ik}^{(t)} p_{kj} \\ &\geq \sum_k \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n p_{ik}^{(t)} \right) p_{kj} = \sum_k \frac{p_{kj}}{m_k} \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\sum_j \frac{1}{m_j} \geq \sum_j \sum_k \frac{p_{kj}}{m_k} \stackrel{DCT}{=} \sum_k \sum_j \frac{p_{kj}}{m_k} = \sum_k \frac{1}{m_k}$$

which implies

$$\frac{1}{m_j} = \sum_k \frac{p_{kj}}{m_k}$$

which in turn implies  $\pi_j = 1/cm_j$  is the unique stationary distribution.

Since always  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^n p_{ij}^{(l)} \rightarrow \pi_j$ , then Lemma IV.16 implies  $c = 1$ . ■

**Proposition IV.18** If a MC has a stationary distribution  $\pi$  and state  $j$  is not positive recurrent then  $\pi_j = 0$ .

Proof: We have

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_j &= \sum_i \pi_i p_{ij}^{(n)} \text{ for every } n \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \sum_i \pi_i p_{ij}^{(t)} = \sum_i \pi_i \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n p_{ij}^{(t)} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_i \pi_i \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n p_{ij}^{(t)} = \sum_i \pi_i \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n p_{ij}^{(t)} \text{ by DCT} \\ &= \sum_i \pi_i \frac{1}{m_j} = \sum_i \pi_i 0 = 0 \text{ since } m_j = \infty.\end{aligned}$$



**Corollary IV.19** A MC with no positive recurrent states does not have a stationary distribution.

**Proposition IV.20** If states  $i$  and  $j$  mutually communicate then if one is positive recurrent so is the other.

Proof: There exist  $r, s > 0$  s.t.  $p_{ij}^{(r)} > 0, p_{ji}^{(s)} > 0$ . Therefore, using Lemma IV.16 for recurrent states and the result for transient states

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{m_j} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n p_{jj}^{(t)} \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=s+r}^n p_{ji}^{(s)} p_{ii}^{(t-s-r)} p_{ij}^{(r)} \text{ by Chapman-Kolmogorov} \\ &= p_{ji}^{(s)} \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n-s-r}{n} \frac{1}{n-s-r} \sum_{t=s+r}^n p_{ii}^{(t-s-r)} \right) p_{ij}^{(r)} \\ &= p_{ji}^{(s)} \frac{1}{m_i} p_{ij}^{(r)} \end{aligned}$$

and so  $1/m_j > 0$  when  $1/m_i > 0$  so  $m_j$  is finite whenever  $m_i$  is finite and conversely. ■

**Corollary IV.21** An irreducible MC either has all states positive recurrent or no states positive recurrent.

- so an irreducible chain has a stationary distribution given by  $\pi_i$  iff it is a positive recurrent chain

**Proposition IV.22** An irreducible MC with a finite state space is positive recurrent and so has a stationary distribution.

Proof: We have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_i(X_l = j \text{ for some } l, 1 \leq l \leq n) = f_{ij} > 0$$

for every  $i$  and  $j$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}$  is finite, then there exists  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  and  $m$  s.t.

$$P_i(X_l = j \text{ for some } l, 1 \leq l \leq m) > \delta$$

for every  $i$  and  $j$ . Therefore,

$$P_i(X_l \neq j \text{ for all } l, 1 \leq l \leq m) < 1 - \delta$$

for every  $i$  and  $j$ .



Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} & P_i(X_l \neq i \text{ for } l, 1 \leq l \leq 2m) \\ = & \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \{i\}} P_i(X_l \neq i \text{ for } l \neq m, 1 \leq l \leq 2m, X_m = j) \\ = & \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \{i\}} \left( \begin{array}{l} P_i(X_l \neq i \text{ for } 1 \leq l \leq m-1, X_m = j) \times \\ P_j(X_l \neq i \text{ for } 1 \leq l \leq m) \end{array} \right) \text{ using MP and T} \\ \leq & \left( \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \{i\}} P_i(X_l \neq i \text{ for } 1 \leq l \leq m-1, X_m = j) \right) (1 - \delta) \\ = & P_i(X_l \neq i \text{ for } l, 1 \leq l \leq m)(1 - \delta) \leq (1 - \delta)^2 \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$P_i(X_l \neq i \text{ for } 1 \leq l \leq km) \leq (1 - \delta)^k.$$

Then for  $n$  satisfying  $km \leq n < (k+1)m$ , we have ...

$$\begin{aligned} & P_i(X_l \neq i \text{ for } l, 1 \leq l \leq n) \\ & \leq P_i(X_l \neq i \text{ for } l, 1 \leq l \leq km) \leq (1 - \delta)^k = (1 - \delta)^{\lfloor n/m \rfloor}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} m_i &= E_i(T_i^1) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(T_i^1 > n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_i(X_l \neq i \text{ for } l, 1 \leq l \leq n) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - \delta)^{\lfloor n/m \rfloor} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m(1 - \delta)^k = m/\delta < \infty \end{aligned}$$

which proves the result. ■

## IV.7 Markov chains of higher order

- suppose stochastic process  $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  satisfies

$$P(X_n | X_0, \dots, X_{n-1}) = P(X_n | X_{n-2}, X_{n-1}),$$

a MC of order 2

- note a full description of the s.p. requires an initial distribution on  $(X_0, X_1)$  then, for example,

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n) &= P(X_2, X_3, \dots, X_n | X_0, X_1)P(X_0, X_1) \\ &= P(X_3, \dots, X_n | X_0, X_1, X_2)P(X_2 | X_0, X_1)P(X_0, X_1) \\ &= P(X_3, \dots, X_n | X_1, X_2)P(X_2 | X_0, X_1)P(X_0, X_1) \text{ etc.} \end{aligned}$$

- define a s.p. by  $\{Y_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  by  $Y_n = (X_n, X_{n+1})$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & P(Y_n | Y_0, \dots, Y_{n-1}) \\ = & P((X_n, X_{n+1}) | (X_0, X_1), \dots, (X_{n-1}, X_n)) \\ = & P((X_n, X_{n+1}) | X_0, X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, X_n) \\ = & P((X_n, X_{n+1}) | X_{n-1}, X_n) \text{ by MP of order 2} \\ = & P(Y_n | Y_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

and so  $\{Y_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  is a 2-dimensional MC with these transition probabilities and initial distribution specified by  $Y_0 = (X_0, X_1)$

- so all the results for MC's apply here and clearly this can be generalized to MC's of order  $r$  where

$$P(X_n | X_0, \dots, X_{n-1}) = P(X_n | X_{n-r}, \dots, X_{n-1})$$